

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Medical Science: Trends and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4220
Title of the Manuscript:	Kleine Levin Syndrome: A periodic sleepiness syndrome or a rare neuropsychiatric disorder
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article focuses on Kleine-Levin Syndrome (KLS), a rare neuropsychiatric disorder. It explores both the clinical and psychiatric dimensions of the syndrome. The topic is intriguing and seems to contribute meaningfully to the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Summary Comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The structure is generally logical and guides the reader effectively. However, some paragraphs contain overly long sentences, which can make comprehension more challenging.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The literature support seems adequate, with references drawn from various studies. However, the recency and depth of the referenced literature could be improved. For instance, some citations are quite dated (from the 1930s), and balancing these with more recent studies would enhance the article.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English grammar is generally good, but there are a few writing errors and misplaced expressions (e.g., “Demonstrative A demonstrative examples”). These linguistic issues should be corrected.	
Optional/General comments	The article describes the clinical and psychiatric features of KLS but does not explain the methodology in detail (e.g., how the literature review was conducted). How were the psychiatric symptoms analyzed? How were the patient samples selected? The inclusion of Table 1 is helpful in summarizing the data. However, adding more visuals (e.g., graphs showing the distribution of cases) could enrich the article visually. The conclusion emphasizes that KLS should be regarded as a neuropsychiatric disorder rather than merely a sleep disorder. However, the reasoning leading to this conclusion should be clarified further. Incorporating more recent studies would significantly enhance the academic contribution of the article.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suleyman Ozsari
Department, University & Country	Bolu Izzet Baysal University, Turkiye