Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science: Research Updates
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_4236**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Cyber Hygiene in Higher Educational Institutes**
Type of the Article	**BOOK CHAPTER**

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback*(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it addresses the pressing issue of cyber hygiene, particularly within higher educational institutions, which are increasingly becoming targets for cyber threats. By providing empirical data and insights into the awareness and practices surrounding cyber security, the paper contributes to the broader discourse on digital safety and the role of education in mitigating risks. Furthermore, it emphasizes the need for ongoing research and awareness campaigns, highlighting the importance of fostering a culture of cyber hygiene among students and staff. Ultimately, this work serves as a valuable resource for researchers, educators, and policymakers aiming to enhance cyber security measures and promote safe online practices in educational settings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	The title "Cyber Hygiene in Higher Educational Institutes" is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus of the manuscript on the importance of maintaining cyber hygiene within educational settings. However, to enhance its appeal and specificity, an alternative title could be "Enhancing Cyber Hygiene Awareness and Practices in Higher Educational Institutions:	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a solid overview of the importance of cyber hygiene in the context of higher education and highlights the increasing prevalence of cyber crimes. However, to enhance its comprehensiveness, I suggest the following additions: 1. **Specific Examples of Cyber Threats**: Including specific examples of cyber threats faced by educational institutions, such as phishing, cyberbullying, or data breaches, would provide clearer context for the urgency of the topic. 2. **Key Findings or Recommendations**: Briefly summarizing key findings or recommendations from the manuscript would give readers a clearer idea of what to expect and the practical implications of the research. 3. **Target Audience**: Mentioning the target audience for the proposed cyber hygiene initiatives (e.g., students, faculty, administrative staff) could clarify who the manuscript aims to benefit. 4. **Call to Action**: A concluding statement that emphasizes the need for immediate action or further research in the field could strengthen the abstract's impact. Incorporating these elements would make the abstract more informative and engaging for readers, providing a clearer snapshot of the manuscript's contributions to the field.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on the content provided from the manuscript, it appears to be scientifically correct in its discussion of cyber hygiene and its relevance to higher educational institutions. The manuscript references empirical data, such as survey results, to support its claims about the awareness and practices related to cyber hygiene among students and staff. It also cites credible sources, such as the Internet Organized Crime Threat Assessment (IOCTA), to contextualize the increase in cyber crimes during the pandemic. However, to ensure comprehensive scientific accuracy, the following points should be considered: 1. **Citations and References**: Ensure that all claims, especially those related to statistics and specific cyber threats, are properly cited with up-to-date and relevant sources. 2. **Definitions and Terminology**: The manuscript should consistently define key terms related to cyber hygiene and cyber security to avoid ambiguity and ensure clarity for readers unfamiliar with the subject.	

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.
-
	The references provided in the manuscript include a mix of recent studies and foundational works related to cyber hygiene and cyber security. However, to assess their sufficiency and recency, consider the following points:
	
	1. **Recency**: The references include some dated sources (e.g., from 2018 and earlier). Given the rapidly evolving nature of cyber threats and technologies, it would be beneficial to include more recent studies or reports from 2021 onwards to ensure the information is current.
	2. **Diversity of Sources**: While the references cover various aspects of cyber hygiene, including empirical studies and theoretical frameworks, it may be helpful to include additional sources that focus on specific areas such as:
	    o Recent case studies of cyber incidents in educational institutions.
	    o Reports from cybersecurity organizations (e.g., Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, European Union Agency for Cybersecurity) that provide insights into trends and best practices.
	    o Articles or papers that discuss the psychological aspects of cyber hygiene, such as user behavior and awareness.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article appear to be generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are a few areas where improvements could enhance clarity and professionalism: 1. **Clarity and Precision**: Some sentences may benefit from rephrasing for clarity. Ensuring that complex ideas are expressed in straightforward language can help make the content more accessible to a broader audience. 2. **Technical Terminology**: While the use of technical terms is appropriate for a scholarly audience, it is important to define these terms when they are first introduced. This will help readers who may not be familiar with specific jargon	
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Belinda Ndlovu**
Department, University & Country	**National University of Science and Technology, Zimbabwe**