

Review Form 3

Book Name:	New Advances in Business, Management and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4274
Title of the Manuscript:	Modelling the Error Term of Australia Gross Domestic Product
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work is a part of econometrics literature and is relevant to the academic community in exploring the efficiency of different modelling techniques on macroeconomic variables (in the current work, it is the GDP of Australia).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest the following title: Modelling Australia's GDP with CWN: Superior Forecasting Accuracy over Traditional Methods	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest one small paragraph about “VAR and Egarch models used in econometrics modelling “ in the abstract. (the current abstract is also good).	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the flow of the paper follows the scientific methodology from the introduction to the conclusion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I strongly recommend adding a few more papers from the last 6-10 years under the new heading of a literature review.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. I don't find any quality issues in the language.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	With minor modifications, the current work can be taken as a book chapter.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishna Murthy Inumula
Department, University & Country	Symbiosis International (Deemed University), India