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| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The article includes a serious research topic with literature support on intergenerational learning. Intergenerational learning has recently become a topic of serious importance. Because in many countries, there are serious gaps between the young and old populations in terms of education. Therefore, the subject discussed in the article provides important information about this process. Intergenerational learning primarily covers the experiences that young people gain from older individuals. Therefore, the learning methods of young and old individuals should be carefully examined and analyzed. In this sense, the article can provide a useful resource. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title of the article is considered relevant to the subject. It is thought to be a title that covers the entire subject being researched. Therefore, no changes are needed. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The summary of the article is deemed sufficient. However, some changes can be made. These changes can be concluded primarily with additions to the method section. It is seen that a literature review was conducted in the research. In this sense, a few explanatory or striking sentences can be given about how the literature review was conducted. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | The method section of the article should be more detailed. It should be explained in detail which sources and methods were used to select the literature review and which stages were followed with this method. Qualitative studies or different literature reviews can be used in this regard. Perhaps, by using the qualitative method, the results obtained can be shared as themes and codes. Explaining in this way can increase the quality of the article and also allow the reader to better identify the method. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | Although the sources used in the article are sufficient, I think a few more sources can be added since the literature review has been done. Especially in literature studies, it is important to compile different sources related to the subject and reach a conclusion. Therefore, the authors can add a few more sources related to the subject. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language used seems sufficient for English. |  |
| Optional/General comments | Authors can add a "suggestion" heading to the article. This heading will provide the author with his/her own opinions along with the information he/she has obtained from the literature. Adding a suggestion section can increase the quality of the article. It will be useful to convey the compiled information to the reader as a whole. |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
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|  |
| --- |
| **Reviewer Details:** |
| Name: | **Seckin Doganer** |
| Department, University & Country | **Ankara University, Turkiye** |