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PART 1: Comments

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The manuscript highlights an important aspect of the extrapulmonary manifestation of a widespread infection like TB. The burden of disease in an asymptomatic individual highlights the novelty of the article. This challenging case presented by the authors would aid it providing a new outlook of the disease.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title is suitable for the presented case report.** |  |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | **The abstract is suitable and comprehensive. For eg! Highlighting the challenges and strengths of the case report.** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | **In introduction: Line 1 - I suggest rephrasing it as Tuberculosis is a “chronic” granulomatous disease. Also, Mycobacterium tuberculosis is the most common cause of TB however, Non-Tuberculous mycobacteria also play a major role in causing TB. The introduction should also include the other causative agents.****The uniformity should be maintained throughout the text, for eg! In Genitourinary tuberculosis, can be rephrased as Genitourinary TB, as the abbreviation has been pre-defined.****In case report: Paragraph 2, line 1- Please rephrase as “14-year-old male patient” . I would also suggest to refer to the CARE guidelines for reporting of the case report.****Paragraph 2 In line 6, “ laboratory test showed elevated BUN, creatinine, hematuria and pyuria” I would suggest providing laboratory values of urine profile to support the statement and findings ( it is better to present in a separate table) . In the history presented the patient had hematuria and dysuria. Please consider the repetition of data and appropriately correct it.****In the last line of paragraph 2 “…. There were no abnormal chest X-ray or CT scan,” I think the authors want to focus of the CT scan of the thorax. Because in the presented figure 1, the NCCT is presenting a massive hydronephrosis. I would suggest correcting it accordingly for scientific accuracy.****The figures presented are from handheld devices. I would suggest using figures from the radiographic software for better clarity.****The case report could be presented with day-to-day details of patients. The author’s state that the follow up was satisfactory, I think the readers could benefit on how this was assessed on follow-up (for eg! Abscence of which signs and symptoms or negative test results)****The discussion could be more elaborated on the pathogenesis of renal TB along with complications. A highlight could be made on the fact that how, asymptomatic individuals can be suspected with Extrapulmonary TB and the importance of latent TB infection could be highlighted.****Limitations and Strengths of the case should be highlighted.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | **The reference style should be uniformly maintained. The reporting of references is not in a particular order. Reference 4 has been reported later than references 7-10.** |  |
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| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | The language is suitable for scholarly communication. |  |
| **Optional/General** comments |  |  |
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| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |
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