|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Book Name: | [Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/current-progress-in-arts-and-social-studies-research-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_4408** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **Impact of Maternal Employment on Child Academic Performance aged 16-20 years; an Indian Perspective** |
| Type of the Article | **BOOK CHAPTER** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback*(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The topic is highly relevant to the discourse on the relationship between maternal employment and the academic performance of adolescents particularly in India, where traditional family structures and evolving economic roles of women overlap with educational outcomes. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes, the title accurately reflects the manuscript’s content. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes.It sufficiently outlines the study’s aim, methodology, and key findings. But there are repitions. Author is required to revise it carefully. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | The manuscript is Scientifically correct. The study is methodologically sound, employing a quantitative approach with clear data analysis technique using mean, median, mode, standard deviation, correlation coefficient and t-test. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | **Yes.** References are adequate and relevant.  |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The manuscript is written in Scholarly English, meeting academic standards. Some sentences could be reread for better flow and to shrink redundancy. For example, repetitive phrases could be simplified for conciseness. |  |
| Optional/Generalcomments |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:** |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Reviewer Details:** |
| Name: | **Peter Sahr Braima** |
| Department, University & Country | **Ernest Bai Koroma University of Science and Technology, Sierra Leone** |