

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Contemporary Research in Business, Management and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_45
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Firm Power on Debt Structure, Bank Loan Financing and Corporate Performance in China
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, Paper is scientifically important because the objective (the incidence of firm value chain power on its exterior financing liabilities, bank loan financing and firm performance) of this study is contemporarily important to the world. And findings is quite practical and helpful for investor and firm owner.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yeah its good but can rewrite this like Impact of Firm Power on Debt Structure, Bank Loan Financing and Corporate Performance: A comprehensive study on China	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yeah, quite good but lacking about methodology of the study in Abstract section.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yeah, quite good but can follow one standard whether British and America	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract : Information about methodology is absene which is mandatory to include. • Introduction: This section needs so much improvement. Regarding China's corporate performance, bank loan financing, and debt structure, there is no statistical data backed up by appropriate literature. Furthermore, the introduction section as a whole lacks any literature backing. There are no gaps in the literature, study contributions, or paper summaries that should be mentioned. • Literature Review part: There is a very small literature review and most of the literature is so old. Author(s) should consider more recent (after 2020) literature regarding this study. And at the of the study, atuhtors should show the research gap with literature support. • Research Gap: This section is okay but should consider some recent literature supports. • Hypotheses Development: Before drawing a hypothesis, authors should write a prapgraph with proper literature support that will induces following hypothesis. • Methodology: Methodology looks good. • Results and Discussion: This part needs improvement. In this part discussion is too short that need to be braoden with proper literature support which will justified the discussion. • Authos need to add "Implications of the study" part and "Limitations and future research directions" part. 	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes. Looks good	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.M. Masudur Rahman
Department, University & Country	Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Science and Technology University, Bangladesh