

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Chemical warfare and environmental warfare operations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_3060G.3
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental warfare operation
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, but it needs to be rewritten as I have marked as comments. Study of the environmental impact of war focuses on the modernization of warfare and its increasing effects on the environment. Hence it is worth publishing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes it is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes it is comprehensive	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	No, English quality is quite poor for any standard publishing house. The writeup needs to be rewritten not only from grammatical point of view but there are several points in the chapter where the continuity on a particular sections are missing. Hence the entire manuscripts must be revised or corrected to remove such inadequacies.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	ii.Major revisions are to be made in some particular sections like 2.3choosing environmental carriers for contaminants, 2.4 estimating damage effects time, 3.theoretical basis . The present chapter should be well presented in order to become a part of a book.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	I particularly think the refernces are not sufficient for such an important topic. Moreover the current references are to be written following one common style.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uttam Thapa
Department, University & Country	Alloy Academy, Under AHSEC, India