

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Chemical warfare and environmental warfare operations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_3060G.2
Title of the Manuscript:	USIN AIR-DRONES IN CHEMICAL WARFARE AND BIOLOGICAL WARFARE
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	This article does make a contribution to the scientific community to some extent, particularly relevant in an era marked by numerous conflicts worldwide. Nonetheless, its level of innovation appears somewhat limited, primarily serving as a synthesis and summary of existing online content and previous discourse. It is recommended that the author enrich the manuscript with additional illustrative examples to bolster their arguments and integrate recent studies to highlight the article's cutting-edge relevance. Furthermore, the author is advised to clarify any abbreviations used and to remove redundant statements for clarity and precision.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes. But I wondered if the first word is "usin" or "using".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes. But abbreviations should be explained in the abstract.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English writing quality of this article is subpar, necessitating improvements in grammar and sentence structure. It is recommended that the author employ ChatGPT to refine the article's language, as experience has shown it can render the language more fluent and accessible.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The different sections of the manuscript is appropriately arranged. However, However, I am uncertain whether Section 2.2 fits appropriately within Chapter 2 (Air Drone Applications in a Battlefield).	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The author could appropriately incorporate some more up-to-date references. More importantly, all references must adhere to a uniform format, necessitating that the author exercises greater care and meticulousness in the formatting of references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Huang Chihan
Department, University & Country	Nanjing University of Science and Technology, China