Review Form 3

Book Name:	Chemical warfare and environmental warfare operations		
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_3060G.6		
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of environmental warfare ammunition by using mining waste, oil and gas waste		
Type of the Article	Book chapter		

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Pa part in the manuscript. I his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The chapter is very brief as an application and doesn't seems to be of much importance to the book	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Very brief and inappropriate abstract. The book and topics needs to be linked, along with highlighting the importance of the topic. So that it evokes interest of the readers for a full reading	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The chapter hardly meets the criteria of detailed enough. It can be termed as Long abstract	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No referencing style is followed	
- Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Writing style is not the standard quality of academic writing	
Optional/General comments	Content is of poor quality with little scienctic writing to be included as a book chapter	

<u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the mar write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mamta Chawla
Department, University & Country	Amity University, India

k (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ipt. It is mandatory that authors should write ere)							

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should