**Editor’s Comment:**

I analysed all the process in detail, including the reviewers' comments. I have the following comments:

- The reviewers gave a very positive assessment of the book, with specific comments for improvement, which the authors (editors) mention they carried out.

- I found that the preface speaks about 6 works in the book, but the book has much more that 6 works.

- The preface does not clarify the source of the collected articles (a conference?).

- The revised version that was sent to me (2024\_BPR\_4097\_v1) does not seem to be updated with the reviewers' comments. For example, please check Table 2 in page 11.

- I also notice that the date of the revised file (27/12/2014) is previous to the original manuscript (28/12/2024).

- I kindly ask if there was any mistake in the revised file.

Thus, at the moment, the book is not ready to be recommended for publication. Could you please check these issues and let me know your thoughts?

**Editor’s Details:**

Prof. Raul Duarte Salgueiral Gomes Campilho

ISEP – School of Engineering, Portugal.