|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | **Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_2318** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Remarkable events that have determined modifications in paleoclimate conditions** |
| Type of the Article | **BOOK CHAPTER** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Review Comments | | |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback*(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript is too short and looks like a power point presentation. Its main text does not reflect the theme included in title.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The word palaeoclime is used to describe climate of earth’ past from Precambrian onwards. In this manuscript authors have presented a figure (i.e. Fig. 2) showing palaeoclime of Cenozoic era. Other discussion is belong to human history. I don’t thing the title is suitable. It needs revision** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Abastract is not appropriate. It does not reflect any meaningfull result rather presents a very simple stroy of palaeoclimate. It needs a very carefull revision.** |  |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | **The subsection and structure of manuscript is not in order. It looks like a power point presentation.** |  |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **It is very general kind of the manuscript. There is a need to properly introduce the problem, its methods, various proxies of palaeoclimate and inferences drawn from them in context of North Scandinavia. Basically, a thread connecting all sections and subsections is missing in the manuscript, which makes this manuscript less impressive.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | **Many latest references are missing.** |  |
| Minor REVISION commentsIs the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | It needs a MAJOR and CAREFULL REVISION |  |
| Optional/Generalcomments | **Sections and sunsections are incomplete and not properly arranged. It respresents no novelity.** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*** |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | ***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Reviewer Details:** | |
| **Name:** | **O Verma** |
| **Department, University & Country** | **Indira Gandhi National Open University, India** |