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| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the transformative potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in secondary education, particularly in a developing nation like India. It explores both the opportunities and challenges of AI integration, offering insights into personalized learning, administrative efficiency, and bridging educational gaps. |  |
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| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | The manuscript includes a substantial number of references that provide a broad perspective on AI in education, covering books, research papers, policy documents, and case studies. While the references are relevant, some could benefit from being more recent to reflect the rapidly evolving nature of AI technologies and their applications in education. |  |
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