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|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript is important as an example of language research in relation to culture, especially Chinese culture. The strength is it shows the relation of language form (idiom) and culture which is unique, which most readers do not know. The weakness of the paper is on the methodology part. It is not explained in detailed. Readers do not know how the writer get the idioms to analyze and how to analyze the idiom. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is not comprehensive. Add sentence about how to select the idioms and how to analyze. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | Scientifically it is ok, but not robust. Add data collection method and more detailed analysis. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | The references are not sufficient. Add more recent references, for examples:(a) <https://www.academypublication.com/issues/past/jltr/vol05/02/25.pdf>(b) <https://knowledgecenter.ubt-uni.net/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4547&context=conference> |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes. |  |
| Optional/General comments | This paper needs major improvement.1. The abtract and subheading 1 mention “detailed analysis” but the analysis in the paper is not detailed. Please see <https://knowledgecenter.ubt-uni.net/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4547&context=conference> for a better example. 2. The title of subheading 2 is “Astronomy and Hostory” but no content talks about history, but folklore or festivlas. 3. Subheading 4, should come after subheading 3, as it is still review of literature.4. Subheading 3 should be the main analysis. Please improve the analysis. (a) mention how to get the data(b) how to analyze(c) do the analysis in more detailed way, for example: (i) the origin, (ii) the function and context of use now, (iii) the meaning and connotation.5. The conclusion does not represent the result of analysis. Improvement of subheading 3 should be done first and rewrite the conclusion and abstract. |  |
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