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| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript addresses a topic of significant academic interest, particularly in education and behavioral sciences, by exploring the influence of psychological flexibility and occupational stress on academic burnout. Such studies are essential for developing evidence-based interventions to improve teacher well-being and student outcomes. The research contributes to the existing literature by identifying key moderating and mediating factors, offering practical applications for policymakers and educators. This work has the potential to advance understanding of how psychological flexibility can be harnessed to mitigate stress and its adverse effects in academic settings. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title appears appropriate as it clearly captures the essence of the study, which revolves around psychological flexibility, occupational stress, and their effects on academic burnout. However, if improvement is needed, the title could be slightly modified for precision: Suggested Title:"Examining the Role of Psychological Flexibility and Occupational Stress in Mitigating Academic Burnout: A Moderation-Mediation Analysis." |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive and provides a concise summary of the research objectives, methods, results, and implications. However, here are a few suggestions for refinement:* Add a statement on the practical implications of the findings for educators or policymakers to highlight the study's relevance.
* Clarify the specific statistical methods used, e.g., "moderation-mediation analysis," instead of general terms like "statistical analysis."
* Consider rephrasing to avoid repetition, ensuring that all key elements (background, methods, results, and conclusion) are equally weighted.
 |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | The manuscript is scientifically sound, with a clear hypothesis and well-structured methodology. The statistical analysis is robust, and the moderation-mediation model adds depth to the study. The study's theoretical framework aligns with the research question, enhancing its credibility. However, further clarification could strengthen the interpretation of results, particularly regarding the implications of psychological flexibility in diverse educational contexts. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | The references cited are sufficient, relevant, and include recent studies. Most are within the last five years, ensuring the manuscript reflects current research trends. Suggestions for additional references:Consider including more literature on practical applications of psychological flexibility in education.Include studies from diverse cultural contexts to enhance the manuscript's generalizability. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language is clear, scholarly, and suitable for academic communication. Minor grammatical improvements and style refinements (e.g., ensuring parallel structure and removing redundant phrases) could enhance readability. Overall, the English quality meets the standard for scholarly publications. |  |
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