|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Book Name: | [**Engineering Research: Perspectives on Recent Advances**](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/engineering-research-perspectives-on-recent-advances-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_4521** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **Comparative Study on Two Storey Car Showroom Using Pre-engineered Building (PEB) Concept Based on British Standards and Euro Code** |
| Type of the Article | **Book Chapter** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript provides valuable insights into the application of Pre-engineered Building (PEB) concepts for constructing two-story car showrooms, comparing structural design practices based on British Standards and Euro Codes. The study highlights critical design, material efficiency, and cost implications, offering guidance to engineers and architects in selecting appropriate design standards. By emphasizing the advantages and limitations of each code, it contributes to optimizing construction practices for commercial structures.**  |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes, it is suitable.**  |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes, it is comprehensive.**  |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | **It is correct.**  |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | **Yes, the references are sufficient, relevant and recent.**  |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, it is understandable.  |  |
| Optional/General comments |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Reviewer Details:** |
| Name: | **Elshan Orujov** |
| Department, University & Country | **Western Caspian University, Azerbaijan University, Azerbaijan** |