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| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **The abstract summarizes the findings but might be more structured and clear. It should state the study's goal, methodology, and a brief overview of the results and importance. Remove repeating sentences to simplify the abstract. To improve scientific rigor, briefly discuss experimental outcomes or comparisons.** |  |
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