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| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | It presents an interesting clinical case of Cutaneus larva migrans with multiple localisations and extended chronology. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title is suitable. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive. In the abstract, as well as further in the text, scientific names of parasite species should be written in italic - *Ancylostoma braziliense* |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | The manuscript is scientifically correct to some extent. For example:1. “Cutaneous larva migrans (CLM) is most often caused by zoonotic hookworm (Ancylostoma braziliense) larvae” – NOT entirely correct. Several other species like *Ancylostoma caninum, Uncinaria stenocephala, Bunostomum phlebotomum* aro also common causative agents in different parts of the world.
2. The sentence “Creeping eruption is caused by migrating larvae of zoonotic hookworm and other nematode larvae, adult worms such as Gnathostoma spp. and Loa loa, adult Sarcoptes scabiei (scabies mites), and parasitic fly larvae (migratory myiasis).” is out of place, repeating the the “cause” of the syndrome and is scientifically incorrect – the *Gnathostoma* invasion is known as “larva migrans profunda”, the *Sarcoptes scabiei* causes scabies that is NOT called “creeping eruption”, the migratory myases can be included in the differential diagnosis but have different course (and name).
3. The description of the actual clinical case could be more extensive and better structured. For instance, it should mention if systemic reactions are found in laboratory studies or not.
4. Clinical cases don’t usually have “results”.
 |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | The references should include not only the referred in the text but also all 21 articles included in Table 1.  |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The English language is suitable. There can be some corrections regarding proper scientific text formatting. For instance:1. The names of all species should be in Italic
2. The sentence: Additionally, CLM is known as creeping eruption, sandworm eruption, plumber’s itch, duck hunter’s itch, and epidermatitis linearis migrans.

Should be written correctly with quotation marks:* Additionally, CLM is known as “creeping eruption”, “sandworm eruption”, “plumber’s itch”, “duck hunter’s itch”, and “epidermoptids linearis migrans”.
 |  |
| Optional/General comments |  A book chapter in an issue titled “Medical Science: Trends and Innovations” should be more comprehensive, with thorough portrayal of the causative agents (common and rare) and with more extensive discussion on the variety of the clinical presentation. |  |
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| **PART 2:**  |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *The authors don’t address if there is acquirement of informed consent from the patient.* |  |
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