|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | [**Microbiology and Biotechnology Research: An Overview**](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/microbiology-and-biotechnology-research-an-overview-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_4751** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **DETECTION AND PREVALENCE OF METALLO-BETALACTAMASE ENZYMES PRODUCING PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA ISOLATED FROM VARIOUS CLINICAL SAMPLES** |
| Type of the Article | **Book Chapter** |

**Special note:**

**A research paper already published in a journal can be published as a Book Chapter in an expanded form with proper copyright approval.**

**Source Article:**

**This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal.**

**World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 5(10): 1210-1220, 2016.**

**DOI: 10.20959/wjpr201610-7203**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **After studying the manuscript thoroughly, it can be affirmed that this paper will play a pivotal role in the scientific community and it is important for new researchers to detect MBL producing Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from different clinical samples from clinical laboratories.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title is suited to the content and the idea of the paper.** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **The abstract is very good with the key concepts of the paper and it is comprehensive about introduction results and aim of the study in short.** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | **Yes, it is appropriate in all section and structure of the manuscript.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | **This manuscript demonstrates scientific strength and technical soundness through its accurate methodology and comprehensive data analysis. The authors have employed a well-designed experimental structures.** |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | **In terms of this paper, I think the list of references need to updates.** |  |
| Optional/General comments | **Yes, the quality of the language of this article is suitable.** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

**Reviewers:**

**Mohammed Naser Hussein, Iraq**