|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Book Name: | **Phonosemantic Dictionary: Exploring the Essence of English Words** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_4773** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **Phonosemantic Dictionary: Exploring the Essence of English Words** |
| Type of the Article | **Complete Book** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback*(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | 1. It helps dictionary readers comprehend the meaning of certain signals, such as bold words, slashes, and brackets. 2. It provides a thorough explanation of the word's definition, meaning, and pronunciation. 3. It helps dictionary users improve their ability to pronounce words correctly. 4. It provides dictionary users with a comprehensive viewpoint that enables them to understand the word's phoneme, history, correct pronunciation, and meaning.  |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | **No** It should have the appropriate book components, such as the references and table of contents.  |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **No references here.** |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes |  |
| Optional/Generalcomments | Although there are reading recommendations, there are no citations for the book's content. The book's body and introduction should be included. The book's substance should be introduced in the introduction. It should make the introduction and the book's body more clear. |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:** |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment*(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* |  |

**Reviewers:**

**Edy Suseno, Indonesia**