

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	Science and Technology: Developments and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4918
Title of the Manuscript:	RESEARCH PARADIGM: A LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

Special note:

A research paper already published in a journal can be published as a Book Chapter in an expanded form with proper copyright approval.

Source Article:

This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal.

Journal of Research in Business and Management, 11(2): 161-165, 2023.

Available: <https://www.questjournals.org/jrbm/v11-i2.html>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors reviewed the research paradigm using some of the research papers from recent years. The methodology of review of the articles is stated in the manuscript, and therefore, the cases were presented that highlighted the importance of varying paradigms. Conclusion and Discussion were presented in closing remarks. And, the references were mentioned as a bibliography. However, this reviewer found some deficiencies in the research, mainly from a lack of more recent research papers from 2024-2025 to back up the literature review. So, comments are herewith presented in this review form.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is short and to the point.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please elaborate the Abstract with some insights on type of methodology used for this research work. Also, the length of the abstract is short please try to elaborate in general with the limitation of the study and a brief points on what the conclusions were from the study. Arrange the keywords in alphabetical order and add minimum of 5 keywords.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Partially, so there are some changes required as below: The manuscript lacks differentiated sections for Conclusion & Discussion. It is recommended to add a conclusion section or rename the closing section to a conclusion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add at least 5 recent research papers to the list of references. It is recommended to add some recent papers to identify what research methodology was used. For example, some authors use mathematical modeling after a literature review of research papers from major journals. They focus on what other authors had in their thought processes before the study.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good, clear to the readers. Good work by the authors.	
Optional/General comments	Change the title for bibliography as References	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewers:
Pravin Sankhwar, USA