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|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)* |
| Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?Please write a few sentences explaining your answer | Yes. As this emergence has been triggered by COVID-19 which most people has lost their fear to, it is vital to educate physicians to understand the emergence of this inflammatory syndrome that is deadly and has a big deal to do with immunity. The process and step of emergence should be aware by these scientific community to begin with case studies, reports and examinations to identify all the treatments available and come up with the best treatment procedures. |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable?Do you have any alternative Title in your mind? | The title is suitable but since this emergence has been proved, we could come up with a title that is not a question but a statement. **Unveiling a beginning of new entity from COVID-19: Chronic Viral Systemic Inflammatory Syndrome** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?If your answer is No, please provide suggestions | Yes, the abstract is comprehensive enough and as a reader I managed to understand well the objective of the article. But, arrangement of words into the sentence could be slightly uncomplicated as this might help the readers to not complicate themselves and understand it straight to the point.  |  |
| Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications?If your answer is No, please provide suggestions | Yes, the English used in the article was suitable and appropriate with proper usage of scientific and medical terminology. I can say the quality of the language used is preserved. |  |
| Please provide your comments regarding the appropriatenessof different sections of the manuscript. | In my opinion, the arrangement and sectioning is fine. But as an improvement factor, maybe the introduction, literature review, hypothesis and conclusions do not need numbering. Numbering only can be added to the occurance process of the syndrome to make readers easily understand and differentiate between the literatures and the process.  |  |
| Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient?If you have any suggestions, please write here. | Yes, the references are fine as for me. The format are proper and uniform as well.  |  |
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