**Editor’s Comment:**

I intensely worked with the documents set you've sent to me. The interesting paper was published in the peer-reviewed journal. Nevertheless it was studied by two competent and independent specialists in this field. The second reviewer supposes the manuscript can be accepted after minor revisions, while the first one demands major revisions. The author(s) completed serious changes according to the remarks of the reviewers. I'd recommend to sent the revised version to the first reviewer. If he is satisfied with the revisions - the chapter is ready for publication.
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