|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | [Current Approaches in Engineering Research and Technology](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/current-approaches-in-engineering-research-and-technology-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_2915** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Xilinx Based Electronic Voting Machine using FPGA** |
| Type of the Article | **Book chapter** |

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This Book’speer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty’**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy:<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers:<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Special note:**

**A research paper already published in a journal can be published as a Book Chapter in an expanded form with proper copyright approval. This is acceptable in the academic world.**

**Source Article:**

**This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal.**

**Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization,Vol. 15, Issue. 1 : 2024**

**Available:**[**https://jnao-nu.com/Vol.%2015,%20Issue.%2001,%20January-June\_2024\_online.html**](https://jnao-nu.com/Vol.%2015,%20Issue.%2001,%20January-June_2024_online.html)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Review Comments | | |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback*(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript is significant for the scientific community.  Grammartical errors. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes** |  |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | **-** |  |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **Not properly arrage the content. Too old references are included** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | **Need to add more recent references.** |  |
| Minor REVISION commentsIs the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | No |  |
| Optional/Generalcomments |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Reviewer Details: | |
| Name: | **Anonymous reviewer (Only for this stage as per Review policy)** |
| Department, University & Country |  |