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| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript provides a detailed and comprehensive review of Farmers Producer Organizations (FPOs) in India. It highlights their historical evolution, current status, and future policy challenges, making it an essential resource for understanding how these organizations have been formed and how they function. By focusing on FPOs' role in enhancing small farmers' market access and income, this manuscript addresses a significant gap in existing literature. Its findings are relevant for policymakers, scholars, and practitioners aiming to improve agricultural sustainability and farmer welfare. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The current title, "A comprehensive review of farmers producer organizations in India: Historical evolution, current status, and future policy challenges," is suitable as it accurately reflects the content. However, a more concise alternative could be: "Farmers Producer Organizations in India: Evolution, Current Challenges, and Future Policy Directions." |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from further clarification on the specific gaps in existing research that this paper addresses. Additionally, it may help to mention key findings, such as FPOs' effectiveness in increasing income and the policy challenges they face. A possible addition could be:   * "Despite over 10,000 FPOs in India, a majority struggle with sustainability due to policy and management limitations." |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | The manuscript is scientifically correct. The historical and current details provided are well-researched and appropriately cited. The analysis of the FPOs' evolution, challenges, and policy implications is coherent and substantiated with relevant studies. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | The references are sufficient and fairly recent, though adding a few more sources related to global comparisons of FPOs and sustainability models could further strengthen the manuscript. Additionally, some more studies from the past two years could be included for up-to-date insights. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language is generally clear, but there are minor issues with sentence structure and flow. Some sentences are long and could be simplified for better readability. Also, minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing appear throughout, which should be addressed. |  |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is well-structured and provides an in-depth review of FPOs in India. It offers a good mix of historical background, current challenges, and policy considerations. However, a clearer focus on specific case studies or examples would make the research more impactful and relatable. Additionally, streamlining the writing would improve its accessibility to a broader audience. |  |
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