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| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The authors presented an interesting case of dengue infection (Pleuropulmonary Complication); however, there is room for improvement so that this case can be considered for publication. The literature review should be more in-depth and updated outside the author’s regions. For example, our research group has recently published several case series of similar dengue complications in Vietnam, that is to say authors should update more data in this report. Importantly, the presented data, references, and literature review are old; therefore, they need to be updated. I have suggested some articles that our research team has recently published related to this study. We have reported some case series related to this case report; hence, the authors can read and update the references and discussions. I highly recommend that the authors consider these articles to be cited in this manuscript based on the above explanations:   1. Nguyen TT, Le NT, Nguyen NM, Do VC, Trinh TH, Vo LT. Clinical features and management of children with dengue-associated obstructive shock syndrome: A case report. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022 Oct 28;101(43):e31322. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000031322. PMID: 36316901; PMCID: PMC9622697.   Vo LT, Nguyen DT, Tran TN, Tran HH, Đoan TT, Pham TN, Mai TT, Nguyen QX, Nguyen TK, Nguyen TT, Burza S, Nguyen TT. Pediatric Profound Dengue Shock Syndrome and Use of Point-of-Care Ultrasound During Mechanical Ventilation to Guide Treatment: Single-Center Retrospective Study, 2013-2021. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2024 Apr 1;25(4):e177-e185. doi: 10.1097/PCC.0000000000003413. Epub 2023 Nov 15. PMID: 37966344; PMCID: PMC10986784. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title is acceptable. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is deemed acceptable. I would suggest that the last sentence of abstract seems to be redundant and needs to be omitted “shock which was consistent with the diagnosis of Dengue Shock Syndrome”, because the previous sentence has already described and the authors do not need to repeat it. Additionally, & symbol (  pleural effusion & pedal oedema) should be fully written as “and” in academic English writing style. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | Scientific criteria are acceptable, not so good. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** |  |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | There is room for English improvement in this manuscript, as there were typos and non-academic English writing. |  |
| Optional/General comments | **These are my specific comments:**   1. **Introduction:**   Dengue burden data are too old and need to be updated WHO 2023-2024 report of dengue burden. That is to say references [1,2] must be updated with recent report 2023-2024 WHO figures.   1. **Case report:**   There are many typos, abbreviations (without definitions), non-academic English writing. This needs tremendous corrections.  For example:   1. & must be fully avoided, it must be witten as “and” in academic English 2. Cough should rewritten as cough (no capitalized, after the comma (,) 3. Having few episode should be rewritten “episodes” 4. “. Abdomen Soft, no organomegaly.” must be rewritten in full sentence (subject+verb+object)   These are some examples, in reality there are so many typos and poor English writing mistakes.   1. **Discussion:**   This needs to be critically amended. Numerous ideas were stated without citations. Additional, citations are too old (> 15 years) hence they need to be updated. Also, there were multiple typos in English writing.   1. **Tables and Figures:**   Table need to be amended, authors should clearly describes as there are so many abbreviations without footnotes hence I do not know what abbreviations indicate, including “S, P, L, E0, PCV, TLC, DLC”   1. **References**   The references are too old, and they need to be updated (as I said above). |  |
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