|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Book Name: | [New Ideas Concerning Arts and Social Studies](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/new-ideas-concerning-arts-and-social-studies-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_4885** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **Challenges Faced by Persons with Disabilities during Disasters** |
| Type of the Article | **BOOK CHAPTER** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript provides a critical examination of the challenges faced by individuals with disabilities during disasters. It highlights key policy frameworks, representation gaps, and specific needs that often go unmet in emergency response efforts. The study underscores the necessity of inclusive disaster risk management, making it a valuable contribution to the field of social studies and disaster management.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title is appropriate as it clearly conveys the core focus of the manuscript. However, an alternative title could be: *Disaster Challenges for Persons with Disabilities: Risks, Barriers, and Inclusive Strategies* to provide a broader context.** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **The abstract is well-structured and effectively summarizes the key points of the manuscript. However, it could benefit from a more explicit mention of the study’s key findings and recommendations.** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | **The manuscript is scientifically sound, drawing upon relevant global reports and secondary data to support its claims. It effectively applies a human rights-based approach to disability and disaster risk management.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **The references are adequate and include relevant sources. However, adding more recent studies (post-2020) on disability-inclusive disaster risk management would enhance the literature review.** |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | **The manuscript is well-written with clear academic language. However, minor grammatical errors and sentence structure inconsistencies should be reviewed to improve readability. A professional language review is recommended.** |  |
| Optional/General comments | 1. **The manuscript could benefit from additional case studies or real-world examples to illustrate key points.**
2. **A clearer discussion on the role of technology in disaster preparedness for individuals with disabilities would strengthen the paper’s practical implications.**
 |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *No significant ethical concerns were identified.*  |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Afsheen Zeeshan, Asia E University, Pakistan**