|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | [Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/current-research-progress-in-agricultural-sciences-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_4923** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Genetic Characteristics and Improvement Strategies of Awassi and Assaf Sheep Farming in Palestine** |
| Type of the Article | **BOOK CHAPTER** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This work contributes significantly to describing the breeds and the particularities of each one in their production environment. This description is important to measure the environmental characteristics and the difficulties encountered in these production systems, and can outline the local production system. This local characterization is important to be able to delimit and understand the object of study, providing guidance on what should be done, because without knowing what one has it is much more difficult to know where to go. The first step is to understand what one has, then measure, quantify and qualify it, and then, based on an assumption of where one wants to go, create methods or adapt those already existing to achieve the objective.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes, the title is appropriate and I don't think it needs any changes.** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes the summary is adequate and comprehensive and I don't think it needs any changes.** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | **Yes, the manuscript is correctly written, well-founded, with well-defined production characteristics and production data that support the reader's understanding. One suggestion I make, so that the reader can understand and compare with their location, is the technical definition of the location where the study was conducted. It is mentioned that the location is arid, but how much? How much rain does it get per year? What is the altitude? What are the maximum and minimum temperatures throughout the year? What is the Köppen-Geiger classification? There are places in the world that are arid and dry due to altitude, such as Bolivia, others that are arid and hot due to their latitude, such as Cape Verde, and others that are arid due to low temperatures, such as Tibet. Each person has their own understanding according to their situation, and it is always good to have a detailed description of where agricultural studies are conducted to facilitate understanding and applicability in each location.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **Yes, the work is very well referenced, with current references predominating.** |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | **Yes, it is very well written, easy to understand and without unfamiliar terms.** |  |
| Optional/General comments | **What I think could be added I have already mentioned above** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Douglas Christofer Kicke Basaia, Federal University Of Grande Dourados, Brazil**