|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | [**Medical Science: Trends and Innovations**](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/medical-science-trends-and-innovations-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_4925** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Endoscopic Endonasal total Removal of a Suprasellar, Preinfundibular Retro Chiasmatic Craniopharyngioma: A Surgical Case Report** |
| Type of the Article | **Book Chapter** |

**Special note:**

**A research paper already published in a journal can be published as a Book Chapter in an expanded form with proper copyright approval.**

**Source Article:**

**This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal.**

**Archives of Case Reports, 2024; 8: 036-038.**

**DOI: 10.29328/journal.acr.1001090**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The article is important because it contributes to the good results obtained with the transnasal endoscopic approach for the resection of craniopharyngiomas and argues why it is the gold standard for the treatment of this condition.**  **The description of the surgical technique used to achieve total resection without the high morbidity associated with complete resection of craniopharyngiomas is important.**  **The use of surgical video is important in the case presentation.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The article title is appropriate** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **I think it is concise and sufficient, assuming this is a clinical case.** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | **Yes, it describes a complex case of neurosurgery that was resolved using appropriate technology and surgical technique.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | **The references are sufficient for a case report.** |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | **I think the language used is accurate.** |  |
| Optional/General comments |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** |  |  |

**Reviewers:**

**Jorge Zumaeta, USA**