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|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This scientific work addresses an original and relevant topic with a therapeutic objective. It evaluates the efficacy of venetoclax in a patient with acute myeloid leukemia and comorbidities. The structure of a case report is properly followed. Ethical principles are also taken into consideration. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes .  |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is well-written and concise. However, it would be better to start with a definition of venetoclax along with its therapeutic indications. Then, it would be relevant to briefly present the clinical case before clearly stating the article's objective. This approach would help capture the reader's attention more effectively. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | Yes , It s correct .  |  |
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| Optional/General comments | The introduction is concise, but I recommend removing the sentence "pneumonia is a frequent cause of death," as it seems less relevant in this context. Additionally, the section mentioning the Ministry of Health in Romania could be moved toward the end of the introduction to improve the flow of the text.The case report is rich in data, but the structure would benefit from following the classic format of a clinical observation: Patient identity, Personal and family history, Medical history, Paraclinical examinations (presented in a table) leading to the diagnosis, Diagnosis, Management, Extension assessment if necessary, and Patient's progress.Regarding the discussion, I appreciated the studies cited, especially those by Ghandili et al. and Ferra F et al., which clearly highlight the effectiveness of venetoclax. The integration of the clinical case is relevant, and the three graphs used are well-executed and add value to the text.Lastly, a small note about the passage "SARS-CoV-2 infection….. patients out of which 37%, that is 198, died." It would be better to remove this section. |  |
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