|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | **[New Advances in Business, Management and Economics](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/new-advances-in-business-management-and-economics-vol-1/)** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_5029** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Corporate Financial Strategy in the Energy Transition: Investment, Risk, and Policy Frameworks** |
| Type of the Article | **Book Chapter** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | In the context of global climate change and energy security, this book examines how corporate financial strategy changes in the transition to sustainable energy. It tackles financial and strategic issues needed to invest in renewable energy projects. The study illuminates sustainable energy financing risk management strategies and policy actions. Its insights help corporations and policymakers navigate the complex financial landscape of energy transition. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title is clear, informative, and accurately reflects the study's content. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract provides a clear overview of the study’s aims, methodology, and key findings. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | The manuscript is scientifically sound and technically accurate. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | The references are sufficient and mostly recent. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language is suitable for scholarly communication. |  |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is well-structured and addresses a highly relevant and timely topic. |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* |  |

**Reviewers:**

**J. Suresh Kumar, St. Joseph University, Nagaland, India**