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| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | Making sure that everyone in Southern Africa has fair access to high-quality higher education is a significant and current topic that is covered in this manuscript. In order to enhance academic excellence, student achievement, and inclusivity in higher education institutions, it identifies the obstacles and offers practical suggestions. The conversation is in line with the global education goals, especially SDG 4, and provides policy-driven insights that are helpful to researchers, academic institutions, and policymakers. |  |
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| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, the manuscript's focus is succinctly described in the abstract. Its clarity would be improved, though, by a quick summary of the main suggestions. One or two phrases that summarise the main tactics covered in the paper might be added by the author. |  |
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| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Although the manuscript is well-written, it might be made clearer with a few minor grammar and sentence structure corrections. To guarantee consistency and easy readability, a professional language edit is advised.  |  |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript makes a significant addition to the conversation about equity in higher education in Southern Africa. It makes well-founded claims and offers helpful suggestions for enhancing student achievement and access. |  |
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