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* Methodology (literature review)
* Key findings or proposed solutions
* Implications or contributions to the field

Suggested revisions:- Mention that the study is based on a systematic literature review- Clarify that the proposed framework is evidence-based- Add a sentence about practical application or benefits to organizations- Change sentences slightly to fit the flow and eliminate redundancy |  |
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