|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Book Name: | [Language, Literature and Education: Research Updates](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/language-literature-and-education-research-updates-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_5148** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **Interactive Tools for Effective Health Professional Education** |
| Type of the Article | **Book chapter** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it presents groundbreaking research that challenges existing paradigms and offers novel insights into. The findings and methodologies outlined in this manuscript have the potential to inform and influence future research endeavors, it also contributes meaningfully to the existing body of knowledge, advancing our understanding and paving the way for future discoveries.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The titlie is concise, however "Revolutionizing Health Professional Education: The Power of Interactive Tools" could be more engaging topic.** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Consider adding a sentence or phrase to highlight the significance or implications of the findings, e.g., "The effective use of interactive tools can lead to improved patient outcomes and enhanced healthcare quality."****In the methods section, you may want to specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria used to select studies.****Rest all looks great** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | **The manuscript appears to have a well-defined research question, focusing on the effective use of interactive tools in health professional education.****The use of a narrative review approach seems suitable for exploring the benefits and impact of interactive tools in health professional education.****The manuscript reports a comprehensive search of electronic databases, including MEDLINE, Embase, and ERIC, which suggests a thorough examination of the existing literature.****So scientifically it looks to be sound.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | **Yes it looks to be sufficient for the study** |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | **Yes, the language and English quality are suitable for scholarly communication. The text demonstrates a good command of academic writing conventions, making it clear and concise. If the author considers writing in active voice would be much prefereable.** |  |
| Optional/General comments | **It is offcourse a dynamic and relevant topic. The article provides a valuable contribution to the field of health professional education.** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Deepti Shetty, Visvesvaraya Technological University, India**