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| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it connects traditional sheep farming with modern technology. It looks at different ways to improve farming, such as better breeding, feeding, animal health, and the use of digital tools. The real-life example from Krishnagiri district makes the findings more useful and shows how these ideas can work in other similar areas. It also brings together experts from different fields to help improve sheep farming and support rural communities. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | YES. The title is clear and informative, it summarises the key themes of the manuscript. It emphasises the role of technology in sheep farming and clearly conveys the intended goals of improving productivity and profitability. This makes it appropriate for both academic researchers, practitioners in the field, policy makes and other stake holders.Suggestion: "Enhancing Livestock Productivity through Technological Integration: A Study on Sheep Farming Systems in India" |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | NO. The abstract does not contain some important points.The abstract is clear and well-organised, covering the importance of sheep farming, productivity challenges, and technological interventions, with a relevant case study from Krishnagiri. However, it could mention the main aim of the book to make the abstract more results-focused, mentioning the problem the book is targeted to solve. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | YES. This is because the manuscript follows the rules that governs academic and research wrtitings. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | YES. This is a book, I do not think it requires to be referenced like a research publication would need. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | YES. There is appropriateness in the use of grammar. There is clarity and no jargons as the case may be. The book tells a good agricultural productivity story and a lay-man can pick it up and understand what the author is trying to pass across. |  |
| Optional/General comments | This work helps the agricultural sector by showing how technology can make sheep farming more productive and profitable. It covers important areas like better breeding, feeding, animal health, and using digital tools. The case study from Krishnagiri shows how these ideas work in real life, giving farmers practical ways to improve their farming, reduce costs, and increase their profits, which can help grow and sustain the agricultural industry. It also shows how much money needs to be invested in the agricultural sector to attain the kind of modernisation and technological advancement needed to improve productivity. Work like this exposes stakeholders and government to the need to focus and invest more funds in the agricultural sector. |  |
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