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| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This paper is a valuable and timely contribution to feminist psychology and gender studies. It examines the psychological resources that women bear within—their emotional intelligence, resilience, self-worth in a holistic and interdisciplinary way. Combining empirical findings with feminist theory and narrative accounts, it subverts reductionist stereotypes and addresses a nuanced vantage point on the relationships between the inner capacities of women and the constraints and compulsion of external institutions. Not only does the study connect psychological science to gender advocacy, but it also supports more inclusive, empowering deliberation that may spur future research and applied work in leadership, social justice and physchological health. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** |  Yes, it is suitable. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is in-depth and persuasive. It visibly states the scope, theoretical foundation, key concepts and themes, and the study’s relevance to psychology and gender equity. Suggestion: try not repeating the terms such as “intersectional” and “complexity” in close succession. The scientific approach could be also reinforced if you describe the methodology or type of data (narrative analysis, literature synthesis, etc.) in which you work with.  |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  |  Yes. The manuscript is scientifically valid. It introduces well-researched psychological concepts emotional intelligence, resilience, self-worth — and develops them in a persuasive way that marries feminist theory with nuances from everyday life. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | Yes, the references are correct. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, the language is suiatable for scholary communications. |  |
| Optional/General comments | This manuscript provides a much needed reframing of women’s psychology grounded in an empowering, intersectional framework. Its major strength is their juggles theoretical depth and real world implications. It is a diverse document that can be useful not only as an academic document but also as a base for gender training, therapeutic work, educational interventions etc. Through AI-generated images and thematic breakdown, this piece is giving a lot of value into its readability/engagement. |  |
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|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* |  |
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