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| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript is, above all, the result of extensive research that was subjected to excellently chosen methodological tools. Sources of knowledge are references that served in a good way to determine the theoretical framework of the target phenomenon. The content of the book is, beyond any doubt, a systematic reflection of its content. The author successfully perceived the multidimensional structural complexity of the research phenomenon. In the conclusion of the book, the opposing relationship between globalization and the mapping of criminal activities is brilliantly shown by pointing out the importance of criminal hotspots. Last but not least, the author observed the phenomenon of the evolution of criminal activities and the need to adapt the geography of crime to the new circumstances of the modern way of life, such as virtual reality, cybercrime, climate change and the other forms of globaly present illegal acts.** |  |
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| Optional/General comments | **The book presents factual and theoretically justified scientific research crowned with very valuable final considerations, which is why the author's effort is an excellent example of how an old idea can be applied in the dynamic and unpredictable circumstances of new times.** |  |
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