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| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | It is appropriate to update the sources by adding new dated references. Up-to-date sources should be used in the text.I didn’t see any references about chemical control of *C. pyricola* and other management approach and IPM.  |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | yes |  |
| Optional/General comments | The title of the article mentions the control approaches for pear psyllid, but there is no other application or method other than chemical control. |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Vildan Bozkurt, Turkiye**