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| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The manuscript gives a clear summary of Allan-Herndon-****Dudley Syndrome (AHDS), covering its symptoms, genetic causes, difficulties in diagnosing it, and the treatments available today** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes,However, it needs some grammar improvement** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | **Yes** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | **References are sufficient and recent but reference list needs formatting corrections to ensure consistency** |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | There are many grammar mistakes and unclear phrases, which affect clarity and readability. The writing needs tobe revised for better comprehension. |  |
| Optional/General comments | I have reviewed the book chapter, and here are my observations Good Points: 1. The paper gives a detailed overview of Allan-Herndon-Dudley Syndrome (AHDS), covering symptoms, genetics, and treatments. 2. It includes up-to-date studies and useful information about new treatment approaches. 3. The focus on how research can be used in real-life treatment is helpful. What Needs to Be Improved:1. There are many grammar mistakes and unclear phrases, which affect clarity and readability. The writing needs to be revised for better comprehension. Examples of corrections:

Original: "More then 100 families..." Revised: "More than 100 families..." Original: "Babinski sign is early detected in life." Revised: "The Babinski sign is detected early in life." Original: "Psychomotor disturbances are found from delivery..." Revised: "Psychomotor disturbances are present from birth..." 2. Some sections repeat the same information. It would help to organize the paper more clearly into parts like symptoms, causes, treatment, and future research. 3. The reference list needs formatting corrections to ensure consistency. This includes removing duplicate entries (such as references 24 and 25, which are the same), and fixing line breaks, special characters, and any broken DOIs or PMIDs. Applying Vancouver style guidelines uniformly—such as consistent punctuation, correct journal abbreviations, and standardised citation structure—will enhance clarity. 4. Fingers and tables are not included, but can be considered for addition 5. Conclusion should better summarise the key points without repeating earlier content. Recommendation : The topic is important and well-researched, but the paper needs editing and re-organisation before it can be published. |  |
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