|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | **Crisis, AI, and the Future of Higher Education** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_5887.5** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Exploring Gender and Discipline-Related Impacts on Graduate Research during the COVID-19 Pandemic in China** |
| Type of the Article | **Book Chapter** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The objectives of the study is well written. It is also significants to the postgraduate community in terms of the impact of the coronavirus pandemic on Chinese graduate students’ research activities and to explore potential differences in perspectives among graduate students by gender and discipline. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title of the article is suitable to that of the scope of study. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract of the article should be written comprehensively, and accordingly: Introduction, problem statement, objective of the study, methodology, results/findings, and conclusion. For the results/findings part, please include the numerical findings. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | The list of references are adequate. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, the English quality of the article is satifisfied. |  |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is well written. However, the author should revised on the methodology section in the manuscript. Please include:   1. the explanation for the subsection of the research design (is this study implemented quantitatively? Etc). 2. the thorough explanation on how the author implemented the instrument validation process. Explain regarding the experts involved, and justification. Please show in detail if any calculation involved. 3. the explanation of the pilot study, to discuss regarding the reliability of the study. 4. the explanation of the data collection process. Please include the flow chart process of data collection. 5. the explanation of the data analysis. What tools do the author used? Which version of the software? What kind of analysis implemented? Please include the summary of the analysis implemented for each of the inferential hypotheses in this study. |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Nur Farha Shaafi, Malaysia**