**Editor’s Comment:**

I am confused: this paper is a part of your future book to be published, or itself it is a book? This issue is very actual and interesting, also by its content described..

However, I think that it is a part of an editorial project. Therefore, it has to submit to the rules of an article.

The following approaches are valid in the case of a standalone article!!

1. The author thinks about what remains for a comprehensive and understandable article.

2. NO Contents at the beginning and, by consequence, no description of each chapter.

3.. Keywords to be included.

4. It is necessary, in any circumstances, a part of Methodology.

5. The whole paper has to end with Conclusions. In this form, it is a small paragraph at the end of the article, not enough for the scope of this article.

6. Anyhow, the References are wrongly written. The positions in the alphabetical order of the authors' last names, or the first letter of an institution providing information...

Thus, the first position is Bocken et al. (2016)... and the last one is the World Bank (there are more positions in this situation). On the other hand, please integrate the positions beyond no 20 at their proper places!!

Of course, after the author's decision, or your's, please tell me how to look at this paper.

Again, the paper is interesting and well designed as a whole.
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