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| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** |  |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** |  |  |
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| Optional/General comments | Manuscript Review: Mastering Academic Writing SkillsThe manuscript titled “Mastering Academic Writing Skills: A Handbook for University Students” is an ambitious and comprehensive work. It offers a broad and well-structured insight into academic writing skills that are highly relevant for students, novice educators, and researchers across disciplines.1. Language and GrammarOverall, the manuscript uses formal and academic-appropriate language. The author successfully conveys ideas with clarity and depth. However, some sentences are too long and may affect readability. Minor issues such as typographical errors (e.g., “writng” instead of “writing”) and inconsistent formatting (e.g., chapter numbers embedded in titles) were noted. Attention to punctuation and capitalization should be improved for consistency.2. Flow and StructureThe book’s structure is logical and well-organized. Each chapter includes an introduction, core discussion, and conclusion. However, a general overview or “roadmap” at the beginning of the book would be helpful to provide readers with a clearer orientation. Certain parts, particularly those discussing online platforms in great detail, appear repetitive and could be condensed to maintain focus.3. Scholarly Quality and ReferencingThe manuscript uses a wide range of credible sources from scholarly journals and reputable institutions. Citations are generally present but need more consistency in APA formatting. In some places, it is unclear whether the statements reflect the author’s opinion or are derived from external references.4. Content Coherence and RelevanceThe content comprehensively covers various aspects of academic writing, from foundational concepts to technical details like citation and paragraph structure. The material is highly relevant, especially for students and those engaged in academic settings. Some parts could benefit from simplification to ensure accessibility for beginner readers.5. General Recommendations for Improvement:- Standardize and clarify the format of chapter and subchapter titles.- Add visual elements such as diagrams or tables to support dense content.- Include more reflection activities or practice exercises to make the book more interactive and practical.- Ensure consistency in referencing and formatting, especially in the bibliography section.Conclusion:This book is a valuable contribution to the development of students' academic writing skills. With refinements in language, technical structure, and content density, it has the potential to become a widely adopted and highly effective academic writing guide. |  |
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