|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | **An epidemiological cross sectional study of use of smokeless tobacco among adolescents of urban slum of a metropolitan city** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_6088** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **An epidemiological cross sectional study of use of smokeless tobacco among adolescents of urban slum of a metropolitan city** |
| Type of the Article | **Complete Book** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This paper can help policy makers design more effective interventions to reduce tobacco use among adolescents.  Serve as a basis for developing targeted educational programs to increase health awareness among adolescents and their communities.  To serve as a basis for further research on the factors influencing tobacco use and the effectiveness of existing interventions. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The titles recommended is: Exploration of Risk Factors and Smokeless Tobacco Use Patterns Among Adolescents in Urban Slums |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | There is no abstract in this article |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | The content of the article is quite good, but it would be better not to discuss religious issues in scientific articles.  If this manuscript is a book manuscript, the writing should not be like a research manuscript writing template. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | It's best to use references from the last 5 years at the latest. Some references are older than 10 years. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The use of language is quite good, but there are still some sentences that are not written in Latin letters. |  |
| Optional/General comments | The article writing is not neat |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *Nothing* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Kadek Sri Ariyanti, Indonesia**