|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Book Name: | **COMPLEX ANALYSIS: A Geometric and Visual Approach** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_6096** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **COMPLEX ANALYSIS: A Geometric and Visual Approach** |
| Type of the Article | **Complete Book** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The textbook, COMPLEX ANALYSIS: A Geometric and Visual Approach” is concise and precise in its presentation. The textbook will provide at readers with the basic knowledge and information about single variable complex analysis as well as the geometric understanding of the theory of function of complex variables. No doubt, complex analysis and geometry are intertwined and the author has made good attempt to provide useful information for readers who seek to acquire deeper knowledge of complex analysis and geometric function theory (GFT). The book is therefore recommended for publication in the journal. However, I suggest that the author considers the **“Introduction”** on the page 5 of the textbook as **“About the Book”** instead of **“Introduction”** because in my opinion, the phrase “Introduction” suggests a discussion of the concepts in the book or a background to the book but on the contrary, the information provided under the “**Introduction**” has to do with the book itself. Hence, I suggest the phrase **“About the Book”** or something else instead ofthe phrase **“Introduction”**. This does not in any way affects the contentsThe authors should close up the pages that are empty. In particular, page 28, page 48 and page 100. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Not applicable** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | **Yes** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.****-** | **Yes** |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes |  |
| Optional/General comments | As provided above |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | No ethical issues observed to the best of my knowledge |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Matthew Olanrewaju Oluwayemi, Nigeria**