|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | [**New Advances in Business, Management and Economics**](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/new-advances-in-business-management-and-economics-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_6142** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **SOUTH AFRICAN JUST TRANSITION PATHWAY: INSIGHTS FROM THE KOMATI POWER STATION DECOMMISSIONING AND REPURPOSING PROJECT** |
| Type of the Article | **Book Chapter** |

**Special note:**

**A research paper already published in a journal can be published as a Book Chapter in an expanded form with proper copyright approval.**

**Source Article:**

**This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal.**

**European Journal of Business and Management, 16(5): 55-72, 2024.**

**DOI: 10.7176/EJBM/16-5-05**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This publication provides empirical support for the Just transformation paradigm in the Global South, particularly South Africa's energy transformation. It provides insights from the Komati Power Station decommissioning and repurposing process, guiding fair transition plans. The study's qualitative ethnographic methodology enhances knowledge on socioeconomic effects and stakeholder dynamics. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | “Perspectives from the Komati Power Station Decommissioning and Repurposing Project on the South African Just Transition Pathway” |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Study Context and Methodology Revisions   1. Strengthen the context and rationale of the study by highlighting the Komati case's significance in South Africa's energy and climate transition. 2. Clarify methodology and sample details, specifying key stakeholder categories and stating the analytical approach. 3. Highlight the most important findings more explicitly, including concrete examples of institutional barriers or community concerns. 4. Tighten and emphasize the contribution to knowledge, highlighting how findings advance the academic understanding of Just Transition in the Global South. 5. Consider merging phrases about "harmonising climate priorities with socio-economic needs" for conciseness. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | The manuscript is scientifically sound, with a well-defined research problem, appropriate methodology, coherent findings, and logical analysis, ensuring academic credibility and consistent recommendations. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | **Suggestions for improvement:**   1. **Add comparative international case studies** of just transition from other coal-dependent countries (e.g., Poland, India, Australia) to broaden the global policy relevance.    * Example:      + Heffron, R. J., McCauley, D., & Sovacool, B. K. (2015). Resolving society’s energy trilemma through the Energy Justice Metric. *Energy Policy*, 87, 168–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2015.08.033      + Newell, P., & Mulvaney, D. (2013). The political economy of the ‘just transition’. *The Geographical Journal*, 179(2), 132–140. https://doi.org/10.1111/geoj.12008 2. **Include more climate–livelihood linkage studies** to reinforce your socio-economic dimension.    * Example:      + Winkler, H., & Dubash, N. K. (2016). Who determines transformational change in development and climate finance? *Climate Policy*, 16(6), 783–791. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2015.1033674 3. **Reference energy transition financing frameworks** to strengthen your recommendations section.    * Example:      + IRENA. (2023). *Financing the Energy Transition in the Global South*. International Renewable Energy Agency. https://www.irena.org/publications |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The article meets academic standards for scholarly communication, with clear, formal writing and appropriate technical terminology. Minor refinements in sentence structure could enhance readability. |  |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript offers insightful policy analysis and community-level perspectives on just transitions in the Global South, with minor improvements including refining the abstract, adding comparative case studies, and tightening discussion sections.  The paper is classified as Minor Revision based on its substance, clarity, research methodology, relevance, and overall academic level.  Although the study exhibits a high degree of originality, scope, and relevance, it might be further enhanced by:  deleting repetitious claims and streamlining the abstract to make it more succinct.  To reinforce the global framework, a few more foreign comparison references are included.    Minor Revision is the suggested revision; this is a well-written manuscript that needs only minor changes to be published.  The manuscript is very relevant and innovative, covering an important case in South Africa's fair transition. Minor changes are suggested improving the abstract, and broadening the literature with comparative international research. Overall, the article is good and can be approved after these changes are completed. |  |
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