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| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes, the title reflects the scope and content of the chapter.** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **The abstract is detailed and highlights the objectives, methodology, components used, and results. It effectively communicates the scope and findings. To further improve clarity, the authors may reduce technical listing of sensors in the abstract and instead emphasize and practical impact.** |  |
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